

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,464	07/24/2003	Alexandre Blais	701826-054280	1325	
50828	50828 7590 01/16/2008 DAVID S. RESNICK			EXAMINER	
100 SUMMER STREET		PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL			
NIXON PEAB BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200101,111			1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,464	BLAIS, ALEXANDRE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alton N. Pryor	1616	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.
13. $\square$ Note the extended Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)
13. Other:  13. Other:  A Hon Viyo- Primary Examine
Alton Yoyu-
Primary Examine

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Burnham 1) teaches the biosolids can be surrounded by a layer (encapsulated) to give controlled performance or sustained release whereas instant invention does not disclose encapsulation of the active 2) does not teach the immediate activation of the bacteria as claimed by instant invention. The applicant argues:

The document of Burnham teaches a method of production of encapsulated and / or concentrically-constructed fertilizer for controlled or delayed release properties. Burnham teaches away from the present application since the present application is claiming a fertilizer wherein the bacteria are active immediately, i.e., readily available and without lag time.

Nowhere in Burnham is there a teaching or suggestion of method of producing a fertilizer comprising the step of mixing a granular fertilizer with a ferment comprising active bacteria, wherein bacteria are obtained from a fermentation stopped before bacteria get into a dormant stage and therefore have no lag time upon rehydration.

The present application involves cooling down the ferment prior to being mixed with the granular fertilizer.

The examiner argues:

Burnham (US '515) biosolids do not require encapsulation and would therefore allow the bacteria to be available in active form. Note Burnham recites that the biosolids "can be" encapsulated (encapsulation not required). See column 4 lines 61-65, column 6 lines 10-23.

Burnham (US '515) teaches a bacterial fermentation sludge that is equivalent to the bacteria being obtained from fermentation stopped before bacteria is in a dormant stage. Note the bacteria are added at lower or cool temperature to the composition. See column 5 lines 30-46.